

Generalised Abelian Chern-Simons Theories and their Connection to Conformal Field Theories

Marco A. C. KNEIPP *

*International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste,
Italy*

Abstract

We discuss the generalization of Abelian Chern-Simons theories when θ -angles and magnetic monopoles are included. We map sectors of two dimensional Conformal Field Theories into these three dimensional theories.

July 1991

*E-mail: KNEIPP@ITSSISSA.bitnet or 38028::KNEIPP
Work partially supported by CNPq - Brazil

Three dimensional Chern-Simons(CS) Gauge Theories are interesting for many mathematical and physical reasons. As shown by Witten [1], CS theories can be used to construct new knot invariants. A mapping between the three dimensional gauge invariant wave-functions and the conformal blocks of some Conformal Field Theories(CFT) in two dimensions [1 - 6] was also established. In terms of physical applications, Abelian CS theory is relevant for the description of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect and maybe for High T_c Superconductivity [7]. With this last motivation, Iengo and Lechner [8], constructed the gauge invariant wave-function of the U(1) CS with a magnetic monopole and θ -angles on a torus, using the path-integral approach. A natural question that one can raise is to which CFT this generalised models are related. The purpose of this letter to consider this question. Here, however, we will adopt the operatorial formalism to recover the results in [8] and consider the more general case of a multidimensional $\mathbf{R}^d/\Lambda$ compact Abelian gauge group, where Λ is a d-dimensional integral lattice.

The Chern-Simons action of a multidimensional $\mathbf{R}^d/\Lambda$ compact Abelian gauge group with time independent charges is defined as

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\int_{\Sigma \times \mathbf{R}} \vec{\mathbf{A}} \wedge d\vec{\mathbf{A}} \right] + \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{A}_0(r_q) \quad (1)$$

where the three dimensional manifold has the structure $\Sigma \times \mathbf{R}$, Σ being a two dimensional compact Riemann surface without boundary and $\mathbf{R}$ corresponding to the time. The 1-form $\vec{\mathbf{A}} \equiv \mathbf{A}_i \vec{b}_i$ is a gauge field with $\vec{b}_i$ as basis vector of a lattice Λ with metric $K_{ij} \equiv \vec{b}_i \vec{b}_j$. The static charges $\vec{q} \equiv q_i \vec{b}_i^*$, $q_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, belong to the dual lattice Λ^* which is generated by the basis vectors $\vec{b}_i^*$ defined by the relation $\vec{b}_i^* \vec{b}_j = \delta_{ij}$.

Adopting as boundary condition the gauge fields going to zero at $t = \pm\infty$ and using complex coordinates, the action can be rewritten in the form

$$S = \int_{\Sigma \times \mathbf{R}} dx^0 dz d\bar{z} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \vec{A}_{\bar{z}} \partial_0 \vec{A}_z + \vec{A}_0 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \vec{F}_{z\bar{z}} + \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \delta^2(z - z_q) \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

where we used the fact that the boundary terms vanish due to the boundary condition at $t = \pm\infty$ and since Σ don't have boundaries. Here $\vec{A}_0$ appears as Lagrange multiplier with the Gauss law,

$$\vec{G} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \vec{F}_{z\bar{z}} + \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \delta^2(z - z_q), \quad (3)$$

as a constraint and $\vec{A}_{\bar{z}}$ and $\vec{A}_z$ are obviously conjugate variables. It follows that the components of $\vec{A}_{\bar{z}}$ and $\vec{A}_z$ will satisfy the commutation relation

$$[\vec{A}_z(t, z, \bar{z}), \vec{A}_{\bar{z}}(t, w, \bar{w})] = 2\pi i \delta^2(z - w) \quad (4)$$

Choosing the $\vec{A}_0 = 0$ gauge, we obtain an action that is invariant under time independent gauge transformations which are generated by the Gauss law. In order to recover at the quantum level the equation of motion following to the variation of A_0 , the physical states, $|\Psi_{ph}\rangle$, must satisfy $\vec{G}|\Psi_{ph}\rangle = 0$.

The class of allowed gauge transformations depends on the topology of Σ . If Σ has non trivial cycles, one has discrete large gauge transformations. We have to establish the action of them on $|\Psi_{ph}\rangle$. In particular if $\Sigma = T^2$ with modular parameter $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$, a time independent element of the gauge group can be written as $g(z, \bar{z}) = e^{i\vec{\phi}(z, \bar{z})\vec{H}}$, where $\vec{H} \in \Lambda^*$ and the gauge parameter $\vec{\phi}(z, \bar{z})$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\phi}(z, \bar{z}) = \vec{\phi}_0 + 2\pi \left[z \left(\frac{-\bar{\tau}\vec{\phi}_1 + \vec{\phi}_2}{2i\tau_2} \right) + \bar{z} \left(\frac{\tau\vec{\phi}_1 - \vec{\phi}_2}{2i\tau_2} \right) \right] + \\ + \sum_{\{n_1, n_2\} \neq 0} \vec{\phi}_{n_1 n_2} e^{2\pi i \left[z \left(\frac{-\bar{\tau}n_1 + n_2}{2i\tau_2} \right) + \bar{z} \left(\frac{\tau n_1 - n_2}{2i\tau_2} \right) \right]} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\vec{\phi}_1, \vec{\phi}_2 \in \Lambda$ to g be single-valued and $\vec{\phi}_0$ are continuous parameters with $\vec{\phi}_0$ and $\vec{\phi}_0 + \vec{\lambda}$ being identified for an arbitrary $\vec{\lambda} \in \Lambda$ to g be compact. The parameters $\vec{\phi}_0$ and $\vec{\phi}_{n_1 n_2}$ correspond to the small and $\vec{\phi}_1$ and $\vec{\phi}_2$ to the large gauge transformations.

We are interested in finding the unitary operator $U(g)$ that produces the finite time independent gauge transformations. The small part is obtained by a straightforward exponentiation. However, if we want an unambiguous expression for all g we should define $U(g)$ by its properties:

$$U(g)\vec{A}_z U^\dagger(g) = \vec{A}_z + \partial\vec{\phi} \quad (6)$$

$$U(g)\vec{A}_{\bar{z}} U^\dagger(g) = \vec{A}_{\bar{z}} + \bar{\partial}\vec{\phi} \quad (7)$$

From these properties we can verify that $U(g)$ generally is a projective representation having the composition law:

$$U(g_b)U(g_a) = e^{i\pi(\vec{\phi}_{1a}\vec{\phi}_{2b} - \vec{\phi}_{2a}\vec{\phi}_{1b})} U(g_a g_b) \quad (8)$$

Only if Λ is an integral lattice, that is $\vec{v}\vec{u} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $\vec{v}, \vec{u} \in \Lambda$, $U(g)$ will be a faithful representation. One can define a consistent theory also when the lattice is not integral [9], however in the present letter we will limit ourselves to integral lattices.

From (6) and (7) we obtain that, in a basis which $\vec{A}_z$ is diagonalized, $U(g)$ acts on a generic state $\Psi[\vec{A}_z]$ in the following way:

$$U(g)\Psi[\vec{A}_z] = e^{-\frac{i}{2\pi}[\int_\Sigma \frac{1}{2}\partial\vec{\phi}\bar{\partial}\vec{\phi} + \vec{A}_z\bar{\partial}\vec{\phi}] - 2\pi i(\bar{\theta}_1\vec{\phi}_1 + \bar{\theta}_2\vec{\phi}_2)} \Psi[\vec{A}_z + \partial\vec{\phi}] \quad (9)$$

where $\bar{\theta}_1$ and $\bar{\theta}_2$ are arbitrary. The origin of the terms with these parameters are due to the fact that (6), (7) and the condition of a faithful representation determine the form of $U(g)$ up to a 1-cocycle. They are analogous to the θ -angle of QCD.

Due to the fact that $\vec{G}\Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] = 0$, the physical states satisfy the relation

$$U(g)\Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] = e^{-i\sum_{\vec{q}}\vec{q}\vec{\phi}(z_{\vec{q}}, \bar{z}_{\vec{q}})} \Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] \quad (10)$$

It is easily verified that a solution of this equation can be constructed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] &= \int D\vec{\phi} e^{i \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{\phi}(z_q, \bar{z}_q)} U(g) \Psi[\vec{A}_z] \\
&= \int D\vec{\phi} e^{i \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{\phi}(z_q, \bar{z}_q)} e^{-\frac{i}{2\pi} [\int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \partial \vec{\phi} \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi} + \vec{A}_z \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi}] - 2\pi i (\vec{\theta}_1 \vec{\phi}_1 + \vec{\theta}_2 \vec{\phi}_2)} \Psi[\vec{A}_z + \partial \vec{\phi}] \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi[\vec{A}_z]$ is a arbitrary state. Here we see that $\Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z]$ corresponds to the correlator of the vertex operators $e^{i \vec{q} \vec{\phi}(z_q, \bar{z}_q)}$ of a free scalar CFT on Σ , compactified on the lattice Λ and with an external gauge field $\vec{A}_z$.

Comparing (9) and (10) we see that the physical states fulfil the relation:

$$\Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z + \partial \vec{\phi}] = e^{\frac{i}{2\pi} [\int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \partial \vec{\phi} \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi} + \vec{A}_z \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi}] + 2\pi i (\vec{\theta}_1 \vec{\phi}_1 + \vec{\theta}_2 \vec{\phi}_2) - i \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{\phi}(z_q, \bar{z}_q)} \Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] \quad (12)$$

Using an arbitrary constant gauge transformation, $\vec{\phi}(z, \bar{z}) = \vec{\phi}_0$, in the last relation we obtain the condition that $\sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} = 0$ for the physical states.

On the torus, the $\vec{A}_z$ component can be decomposed in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{A}_z(z, \bar{z}) &= \frac{i\pi}{\tau_2} \vec{a} + \sum_{\{n_1, n_2\} \neq 0} \vec{a}_{n_1 n_2} e^{2\pi i \left[z \left(\frac{-\bar{\tau} n_1 + n_2}{2i\tau_2} \right) + \bar{z} \left(\frac{\tau n_1 - n_2}{2i\tau_2} \right) \right]} \\
&= \frac{i\pi}{\tau_2} \vec{a} + \partial \vec{\phi}_{sm} \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last term can be eliminated by a small gauge transformation $\vec{\phi}_{sm}$. Therefore, to calculate a generic physical state $\Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z]$, it is enough to find $\Psi_{ph} \left(\frac{i\pi}{\tau_2} \vec{a} \right)$ and then, from (12), we arrive

$$\Psi_{ph} \left[\vec{A}_z(z, \bar{z}) \right] = e^{\frac{i}{2\pi} [\int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \partial \vec{\phi}_{sm} \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi}_{sm}] - i \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{\phi}_{sm}(z_q, \bar{z}_q)} \Psi_{ph} \left(\frac{i\pi}{\tau_2} \vec{a} \right) \quad (14)$$

To determine the form of $\Psi_{ph} \left(\frac{i\pi}{\tau_2} \vec{a} \right)$, we use another time (12) and obtain the quasi-periodicity relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{ph} \left(\frac{i\pi}{\tau_2} (\vec{a} - \vec{\phi}_2 + \bar{\tau} \vec{\phi}_1) \right) &= \\
&= e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau_2} [\vec{a}(\tau \vec{\phi}_1 - \vec{\phi}_2) + \frac{1}{2}(\tau \vec{\phi}_1 - \vec{\phi}_2)(\bar{\tau} \vec{\phi}_1 - \vec{\phi}_2)] + 2\pi i (\vec{\theta}_1 \vec{\phi}_1 + \vec{\theta}_2 \vec{\phi}_2) + i \sum_{\vec{q}} 2\pi \vec{q} \left[z \left(\frac{-\bar{\tau} \vec{\phi}_1 + \vec{\phi}_2}{2i\tau_2} \right) + \bar{z} \left(\frac{\tau \vec{\phi}_1 - \vec{\phi}_2}{2i\tau_2} \right) \right]} \Psi_{ph} \left(\frac{i\pi}{\tau_2} \vec{a} \right) \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

For the case without charges, this relation has the independent (non-normalized) solutions

$$\Psi_{\vec{\beta}} \left(\frac{i\pi \vec{a}}{\tau_2} \right) = \exp \left(\frac{\pi \vec{a}^2}{2\tau_2} \right) \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \Lambda} e^{-2\pi i \vec{\theta}_1 \vec{\alpha}} \exp \left[-i\pi \bar{\tau} (\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\theta}_2)^2 - 2\pi i (\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\theta}_2) \vec{a} \right] \quad (16)$$

where $\vec{\beta} \in \Lambda^* / \Lambda$.

Since in the $A_0 = 0$ gauge the action is invariant under modular transformations, we have to guarantee that these physical states form a representation of the modular transformations

$$T : \begin{cases} x'_1 = x_1 + x_2 \\ x'_2 = x_2 \end{cases} \quad S : \begin{cases} x'_1 = x_2 \\ x'_2 = -x_1 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

The unitary operators which implement these modular transformations are given by [10]

$$T = \eta \exp \frac{i}{4\pi} \vec{a}_1^2 \quad S = \eta' \exp \frac{i}{8\pi} [\vec{a}_1^2 + \vec{a}_2^2] \quad (18)$$

where η and η' are some phases and $\vec{a}_1 + \vec{a}_2 = \vec{a}$. From the T transformation we obtain the condition that

$$\frac{\vec{\lambda} \vec{\lambda}}{2} + \vec{\lambda} \vec{\theta}_2 \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \forall \vec{\lambda} \in \Lambda \quad (19)$$

To solve it, we use the fact that for an arbitrary Λ integral, the vector basis can be decomposed as $\vec{b}_i = \{\vec{o}_1, \dots, \vec{o}_n, \vec{e}_{n+1}, \dots, \vec{e}_d\}$ with

$$\vec{e}_i \vec{e}_i \in 2\mathbf{Z} \quad (20)$$

$$\vec{o}_i \vec{o}_i \in 2\mathbf{Z} + 1 \quad (21)$$

We verify that the general solution of (19) is

$$\vec{\theta}_2 = \sum_j \frac{\vec{o}_j^*}{2} \pmod{\Lambda^*} \quad (22)$$

The covariance of the wave-function under S transformation impose that

$$\vec{\theta}_1 = \vec{\theta}_2 \pmod{\Lambda^*} \quad (23)$$

For the case of a even lattice (that is, a lattice generated by a basis composed only of vectors with even norm), we will have that $\vec{\theta}_1 = \vec{\theta}_2 = 0$ and we recover the results in [2, 3]. However, the important consequence of considering the θ -angles is that it opens the possibility to consider a $\mathbf{R}^d/\Lambda$ CS with an arbitrary Λ . We don't have any more the constraint that Λ is even as normally considered.

Now we will see that the physical wave-functions (16) are the conformal blocks of sectors of two different CFT's defined on T^2 . The first one is a CFT defined by a chiral algebra generated by $G_i \equiv z^{\vec{b}_i^2/2} : e^{i\vec{b}_i \vec{\phi}(\vec{z})} :$ and $\vec{G}_0 \equiv i\partial_{\vec{z}} \vec{\phi}(\vec{z})$ with the chiral scalar field $\vec{\phi}(\vec{z}) = \vec{q} - i\vec{p} \ln \vec{z} + i \sum_{n \neq 0} \vec{\alpha}_n \vec{z}^n$. The generators G_i have scaling dimensions $\vec{b}_i^2/2$ that can be integer or half-integer and we will call them as "bosonic" and "fermionic" respectively. The fermionic set has two more features with respect to the bosonic one. The first feature is that the chiral algebra is compatible with Ramond(periodic) or Neveu-Schwarz(anti-periodic) boundary conditions. The requirement that the G_i 's are periodic, selects for the Ramond sector the momenta $\vec{\lambda}^* + \sum_i \vec{o}_i^*/2$ and for the Neveu-Schwarz sector the momenta to $\vec{\lambda}^*$, $\forall \vec{\lambda}^* \in \Lambda^*$. The second feature comes from the fact

that since the fermionic operators have semi-integer dimensions, they would produce monodromies inside the representations. Therefore we decompose the representations through a projection operator. This projection should separate the states connected by a even number of applications of the fermionic operators. Let's consider a generic state $|\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta}\rangle$ produced from the highest weight state $|\vec{\beta}\rangle$ with $\vec{\alpha} = \alpha_i^{odd} \vec{o}_i + \alpha_i^{even} \vec{e}_i$. We can verify that the operators $\frac{1 \pm (-1)^F}{2}$ with $F \equiv \sum_i \alpha_i^{odd} = 2\vec{\alpha}\vec{\theta}$, produces the wanted projection.

It is known that modular transformations generally mix the different boundaries conditions and only the Ramond-Ramond sector by itself is invariant under modular transformations. To obtain the corresponding characters of the Ramond-Ramond sector for our chiral algebra, we proceed exactly in the same way as for the ordinary fermionic case: the path integral with periodic boundary conditions in both directions corresponds to the trace of the operator $(-1)^F \exp(-2\pi i \bar{\tau} L_0 - \vec{a} \vec{G}_0)$ over the states in a representation with momenta $\vec{\lambda}^* + \sum_i \vec{o}_i^*/2$. This will give as the result for a representation built from a highest weight state $|\vec{\beta}\rangle$, $\beta \in \Lambda^*/\Lambda$

$$\chi_{\vec{\beta}} = \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \Lambda} (-1)^F \exp \left[-i\pi \bar{\tau} \left(\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} + \sum_i \vec{o}_i^*/2 \right)^2 - 2\pi i \left(\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} + \sum_i \vec{o}_i^*/2 \right) \vec{a} \right] \quad (24)$$

which corresponds to difference of the conformal blocks that comes from the sectors $\frac{1+(-1)^F}{2}$ and $\frac{1-(-1)^F}{2}$ with momenta to $\vec{\lambda}^* + \sum_i \vec{o}_i^*/2$. Comparing this last result with the wave-functions (16) and using our definition of F, we can conclude that our physical wave-funtions are the characters of the Ramond-Ramond sector of the above CFT.

The second CFT is defined from a even lattice that we will denote by $\tilde{\Lambda}$. In this lattice we substitute the odd vector basis $\vec{o}_i$ of our original Λ by $\vec{f}_i \equiv 2\vec{o}_i$ and leave unchanged the even vector basis $\vec{e}_i$. Correspondly, in the new dual lattice, $\tilde{\Lambda}^*$, we substitute $\vec{o}_i^*$ by $\vec{f}_i^* \equiv \vec{o}_i^*/2$ in order to preserve the relation $\vec{b}_i \vec{b}_j^* = \delta_{ij}$, $\vec{b}_i = \{\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_n, \vec{e}_{n+1}, \dots, \vec{e}_d\}$. This is a special kind of even lattice since more than the condition $\vec{b}_i \vec{b}_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, we have that also $\vec{b}_i \vec{f}_j \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

From this lattice we define as before a chiral algebra, but now containig only bosonic operators. We can verify that for this lattice we have the discrete global symmetry property that the G_i 's that are connected by shifts of $\vec{f}_j/2$, have the same scaling dimension (modulo an integer). It is not difficult to prove that the following combination of conformal blocks form a representation of modular group:

$$\chi_{\vec{\beta}} = \tilde{\chi}_{\vec{\beta}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\chi}_{\vec{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{f}_i} + \sum_{i=1}^j \sum_{j=2}^n \tilde{\chi}_{\vec{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{f}_i + \vec{f}_j)} - \dots + (-1)^n \tilde{\chi}_{\vec{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{f}_1 + \vec{f}_2 + \dots + \vec{f}_n)} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{\vec{\gamma}} &\equiv \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \exp \left[-i\pi \bar{\tau} (\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\gamma})^2 - 2\pi i (\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\gamma}) \vec{a} \right] \\ \vec{\beta} &\in \sum_{m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[(2m_i + 1) \vec{f}_i^* + n_i \vec{e}_i^* \right] / \sum_{p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{p_i}{2} \vec{f}_i + q_i \vec{e}_i \right] \end{aligned}$$

We can put it in a compact form:

$$\chi_{\vec{\beta}} = \sum_{\vec{g}} (-1)^{2\vec{g} \cdot \sum_i \vec{f}_i^*} \tilde{\chi}_{\vec{\beta} + \vec{g}} \quad (26)$$

where $\vec{g}$ are the different shift produced by the combination of $\vec{f}_i/2$. If we rewrite the wave-function (16) using the vector basis of $\tilde{\Lambda}$, we obtain the same modular matrices.

We discuss now the quantisation of our theory in the presence of a magnetic monopole. Following the procedure of t'Hooft [11], we can construct a configuration with magnetic monopole on T^2 by using the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{A}_i(x^1, 1) &= \vec{A}_i(x^1, 0) + \partial_i \vec{r}(x^1, 0) \quad i = 1, 2 \\ \vec{A}_i(1, x^2) &= \vec{A}_i(0, x^2) + \partial_i \vec{s}(0, x^2) \quad i = 1, 2 \\ \vec{s}(0, 1) - \vec{s}(0, 0) - \vec{r}(1, 0) + \vec{r}(0, 0) &\in 2\pi\Lambda \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the last condition guarantee that the Dirac string stay invisible. Therefore, we can consider that $\vec{r} = 2\pi\vec{\mu}x^1$ and $\vec{s} = 0$ where $\vec{\mu} \in \Lambda$. Passing to complex coordinates, the gauge fields and the field strength

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{A}_z &= \vec{A}_z^p + \frac{\bar{\tau}\pi}{2\tau_2^2} (z - \bar{z}) \vec{\mu} \\ \vec{A}_{\bar{z}} &= \vec{A}_{\bar{z}}^p - \frac{\tau\pi}{2\tau_2^2} (z - \bar{z}) \vec{\mu} \\ \vec{F}_{z\bar{z}} &= \vec{F}_{z\bar{z}}^p + \frac{\pi}{i\tau_2} \vec{\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

fulfil the above boundary conditions, where $\vec{A}_z^p, \vec{A}_{\bar{z}}^p$ and $\vec{F}_{z\bar{z}}^p$ correspond to the field configuration with periodic boundary conditions. Substituting (28) in (1), and doing some integration by parts, we obtain

$$S = \int_{\Sigma \times \mathbf{R}} dx^0 dz d\bar{z} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \vec{A}_{\bar{z}}^p \partial_0 \vec{A}_z^p + \vec{A}_0 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \vec{F}_{z\bar{z}}^p + \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \delta^2(z - z_q) + \frac{1}{2i\tau_2} \vec{\mu} \right) \right] \quad (29)$$

plus some non periodic terms. Using as guiding principle to consider only truly periodic quantities in the action, we will consider (29) as a new definition of the action, replacing (1). This action has a vanishing hamiltonian as the previous one. Comparing with (2), we see that the only effect of the inclusion of a magnetic monopole was a change in the Gauss law. Therefore, the physical states will satisfy the new condition:

$$U(g) \Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] = e^{-i \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{\phi}(z_q, \bar{z}_q) + i \vec{\mu} \vec{\phi}_0} \Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] \quad (30)$$

Here we put only the constant part of $\vec{\phi}$ for the magnetic monopole, since the part that comes from the large gauge transformation can be absorbed by a redefinition of the θ -angles. A general solution to this equation will be:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] &= \int D\vec{\phi} e^{i \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{\phi}(z_q, \bar{z}_q) - i \vec{\mu} \vec{\phi}_0} U(g) \Psi[\vec{A}_z] \\
&= \int D\vec{\phi} e^{i \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{\phi}(z_q, \bar{z}_q) - i \vec{\mu} \vec{\phi}_0} e^{-\frac{i}{2\pi} [\int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \partial \vec{\phi} \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi} + \vec{A}_z \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi}] - 2\pi i (\vec{\theta}_1 \vec{\phi}_1 + \vec{\theta}_2 \vec{\phi}_2)} \Psi[\vec{A}_z + \partial \vec{\phi}] \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

From (30) and (9) we arrive that Ψ_{ph} will be constrained by:

$$\Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z + \partial \vec{\phi}] = e^{\frac{i}{2\pi} [\int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \partial \vec{\phi} \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi} + \vec{A}_z \bar{\partial} \vec{\phi}] + 2\pi i (\vec{\theta}_1 \vec{\phi}_1 + \vec{\theta}_2 \vec{\phi}_2) - i \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{\phi}(z_q, \bar{z}_q) + i \vec{\mu} \vec{\phi}_0} \Psi_{ph}[\vec{A}_z] \quad (32)$$

Using a constant gauge transformation we obtain the new condition $\sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} = \vec{\mu}$ for the physical states. Therefore, when there is a magnetic monopole the charge distribution must have a total charge different from zero. Moreover, since $\vec{q} \in \Lambda^*$ and $\vec{\mu} \in \Lambda$ this relation impose that $\Lambda \subset \Lambda^*$, which means that in the presence of a magnetic monopole Λ is necessarily an integral lattice.

From (32) we arrive to the same quasi-periodicity relation (15) but with the condition $\sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} = \vec{\mu}$. This relation will have the solutions

$$\Psi_{\vec{\beta}}\left(\frac{i\pi \vec{a}}{\tau_2}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi \vec{a}^2}{2\tau_2}\right) \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \Lambda} (-1)^F \exp\left[-i\pi \vec{\tau} (\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\theta})^2 - 2\pi i (\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\theta}) \left(a - \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \vec{z}_q\right)\right] \quad (33)$$

where $\vec{\beta} \in \Lambda^*/\Lambda$ and $\vec{a} \equiv \vec{a} + \sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q}(z_q - \bar{z}_q)$. Therefore, for the one dimensional lattice, we recover the results in [8]. We can conclude that the only consequence of the inclusion of the monopole is that now $\sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} = \vec{\mu}$.

The condition of a total charge different from zero appears already at the classical level: as $\vec{q}$ couples directly to the magnetic field in the equations of motion (3), the introduction of a magnetic monopole changes the charge conservation law from $\sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} = 0$ to $\sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} - \vec{\mu} = 0$. This result can be extended to other Riemann surfaces. In particular we can do the same for the sphere. Therefore we can recognize the condition $\sum_{\vec{q}} \vec{q} \neq 0$ as the same considered by Dotsenko and Fateev [12] for the Feigin-Fuchs construction on the sphere. There, through the introduction of a term $\int R\sqrt{g}\phi$ in the action and using after complex coordinates, they arrive in a correlator similar to (31). It seems therefore that there is exist a connection between the CS theory with a magnetic monopole and minimal models. However, the screening operators necessary for the Feigin-Fuchs construction don't have a clear interpretation from the CS point of view.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank A. Schwimmer for the suggestion of this problem and for the enlightening and patient conversations.

References

- [1] E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 351.

- [2] S. Eliztur, G. Moore, A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, *Nucl. Phys.* **B326** (1989) 108; A. Schwimmer, in *Proceedings of the Johns Hopkins Workshop 1989*, ed. L. Lusanna (World Scientific, 1989); G. Moore and N. Seiberg, in *Proceedings of the Trieste Springer School 1989*, ed. Green *et al.* (World Scientific, 1990).
- [3] M. Bos and V. P. Nair, *Phys. Lett.* **B223** (1989) 61; *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A5** (1990) 959.
- [4] J. M. F. Labastida and A. V. Ramalho, *Phys. Lett.* **B227** (1989) 92.
- [5] A. P. Polychronakos, *Ann. Phys.* **203** (1990) 231.
- [6] C. Imbimbo, *Quantization Ambiguities for Chern-Simons Topological Theories*, preprint GEF-TH 24/1990.
- [7] B. I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** (1984) 1583; D. Arovas, J. R. Schieffer and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53** (1984) 722; A. L. Fetter, C. B. Hanna and R. B. Laughlin, *em Phys. Rev.* **B39** (1989) 9679; Y. H. Chen, F. Wilczek, E. Witten and B. I. Halperin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B3** (1989) 1001.
- [8] R. Iengo and K. Lechner, *Nucl. Phys.* **B346** (1990) 551; *Exact Results for Anyons on a Torus*, preprint SISSA 182/90/EP.
- [9] A. P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Lett.* **B241** (1990) 231.
- [10] E. Verlinde, Ph. D. thesis (1988).
- [11] t'Hooft, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **81**, (1981) 267.
- [12] Vl. S. Dotsenko and V. A. Fateev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B240**, (1984) 312; *Nucl. Phys.* **B251**, (1985) 691.